



STATE OF IOWA

IOWA DENTAL BOARD

TERRY E. BRANSTAD, GOVERNOR
KIM REYNOLDS, LT. GOVERNOR

JILL STUECKER
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

IOWA DENTAL BOARD

MINUTES

January 22, 2015
Conference Room
400 S.W. 8th St., Suite D
Des Moines, Iowa

Board Members

	January 22, 2015
Steven Bradley, D.D.S.,	Present
Steven C. Fuller, D.D.S.	Present
Matthew J. McCullough, D.D.S.	Present
Thomas M. Jeneary, D.D.S.	Present
Kaaren G. Vargas, D.D.S.	Present
Mary C. Kelly, R.D.H.	Present
Nancy A. Slach, R.D.H.	Present
Diane Meier, Public Member	Present
Lori Elmitt, Public Member	Present

Staff Members

Jill Stuecker, Phil McCollum, Christel Braness, Brian Sedars, Dee Ann Argo, Janet Arjes

Attorney General's Office

Sara Scott, Assistant Attorney General

Other Attendees

Jeannene, Veenstra, R.D.A., Iowa Dental Assistants Association
Lynh Patterson, Delta Dental of Iowa
Rachel Patterson-Rahn, I-SMILE Coordinator
Tom Cope, Iowa Dental Hygienists' Association
Nadine DeVoss, R.D.H., Iowa Dental Hygienists' Association
Carol Van Aernam, R.D.H., Iowa Dental Hygienists' Association
Sue Hyland, R.D.H., Iowa Dental Hygienists' Association
Becki Brommel, Brown, Winnick for Iowa Dental Association
Kim Howard, R.D.H., I-SMILE Coordinator
Larry Carl, Iowa Dental Association
Francisco Olalde, University of Iowa, Iowa Health Professions Tracking Center
Jane Slach, R.D.A. Iowa Dental Assistants Association
Mark Markham, D.D.S., Midwest Dental – Atlantic
Sue Milonas, R.D.H., MCPH

Katie McBurney, R.D.H., Marion County Public Health
 Jeremy Carlson, Marion County Public Health
 Melissa Tangen, Delta Dental of Iowa
 Sheila Temple, R.D.H. I-SMILE Coordinator
 Kim Howard, R.D.H. I-SMILE Coordinator
 Arlene Prather-Okane, Black Hawk County Health
 Lori Brown, R.D.H., Des Moines Area Community College
 Nancy Adrianse, R.D.H., Iowa Primary Care Association
 Sue Winker, R.D.H., HCT VNS
 Sara Schlievert, R.D.H., Iowa Department of Public Health
 Bob Russell, D.D.S., Iowa Department of Public Health
 R. Bruce Cochrane, D.D.S., Iowa Dental Association
 Tena Springer, Primary Health Care
 Stephen Thies, D.D.S., Iowa Academy of General Dentistry

I. CALL TO ORDER FOR JANUARY 22, 2015

Dr. Bradley called the open session meeting of the Iowa Dental Board to order at 10:01 a.m. on Thursday, January 22, 2015. A quorum was established with all members present.

Roll Call:

<u>Member</u>	<u>Bradley</u>	<u>Elmitt</u>	<u>Fuller</u>	<u>Jeneary</u>	<u>Kelly</u>	<u>McCullough</u>	<u>Meier</u>	<u>Slach</u>	<u>Vargas</u>
Present	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Absent									

II. 1st OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Dr. Bradley stated that due to the large number of attendees, comments would be limited to those who had not previously submitted written comments.

Dr. Bradley asked everyone to introduce themselves. Dr. Bradley allowed the opportunity for public comment.

Ms. Patterson-Rahn asked to revisit some of her comments made during the meeting of the Dental Hygiene Committee since not everyone was present at that meeting. Ms. Patterson-Rahn expressed her concerns regarding the petition for rulemaking and the impact it could have on programs such as WIC, which is a federal program. These programs can be critical points of access to the public. Ms. Patterson-Rahn also expressed her support for the proposal to allow dental assistants to work in the school-based sealant programs.

Mr. Cope thanked the Board for their work in moving forward on the issue of allowing dental assistants to help dental hygienists in public health settings. The Iowa Dental Hygienists' Association had some concerns about the draft of Iowa Administrative Code 650—20.1(6), which was submitted for consideration. Some of the roles addressed in the draft are services, which may not be necessary within a public health setting. Some of the tasks noted would most likely be

performed by the dental hygienist. The intent of the rule is to allow dental assistants to help dental hygienists in those settings, not perform services in place of the dental hygienists.

Ms. Brommel, on behalf of the Iowa Dental Association, reported that the Iowa Dental Association had submitted information with regards to the petition for rulemaking. Ms. Brommel reported that they had read all of the comments received, and wanted to clarify that there is no intent to eliminate programs such as WIC. Ms. Brommel believed that it might be possible to accept the Iowa Dental Association's proposal, without eliminating those kinds of programs.

Ms. Brommel spoke in regards to the tiered approach with dental assistants and expanded functions. Ms. Brommel stated that the services listed under level 2 do not appear to be related to the services listed under level 1. It did not appear that training in level 1 expanded functions would be necessary in order to complete training in level 2. The Iowa Dental Association would ask the Board to consider removing the proposed requirement for training in all level 1 services prior to training in level 2 services. The Iowa Dental Association will submit more formal comments as appropriate.

Ms. Hyland addressed the issue related to the public health supervision for dental assistants. The approved site listing in the proposed rules is different than the list of sites allowed for dental hygienists. Ms. Hyland would like the lists to be consistent. Mr. McCollum stated that the purpose of the draft today is for discussion. Mr. McCollum reported that he took the language, which exists in the Iowa Code as it relates to the practice of dental assistants. The Board is constrained by the authorities granted in Iowa Code.

Mr. Carl, Iowa Dental Association, stated that Dr. Steven Rabedaux asked that the Board be thanked for consideration of his request to lower the clinical practice requirement prior to dental assistants training in expanded functions.

Ms. Temple asked to add to her written comments. Ms. Temple stated, that as mentioned in the Dental Hygiene Committee meeting, public health programs are not implemented without thought and consideration. Need assessments are completed, and are subject to review by an advisory committee prior to implementation. The advisory committee is comprised of a diverse group when considering these matters. These programs are implemented after reviewing the needs of the community.

III. APPROVAL OF OPEN SESSION MINUTES

- *October 17, 2014 – Quarterly Meeting Minutes*
- ❖ MOVED by MEIER, SECONDED by KELLY, to APPROVE the open session minutes as submitted. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- *October 31, 2014 – Meeting Minutes*
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to APPROVE the open session minutes as submitted. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

- *November 10, 2014 – Teleconference Meeting Minutes*
- ❖ MOVED by JENEARY, SECONDED by MEIER, to APPROVE the open session minutes as submitted. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- *December 9, 2014 – Meeting Minutes*
- ❖ MOVED by KELLY, SECONDED by FULLER, to APPROVE the open session minutes as submitted. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

IV. REPORTS

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Dr. Bradley stated that there would be two reports. Dr. Bradley asked Mr. McCollum to speak first since he served as interim director. Dr. Bradley stated that that Ms. Stuecker would provide a report thereafter.

Dr. Bradley asked everyone to welcome Ms. Stuecker as the new executive director of the Iowa Dental Board.

Mr. McCollum also welcomed Ms. Stuecker. Mr. McCollum thanked everyone for their participation over the last year. Mr. McCollum thanked Board staff for stepping up and helping make the last year go as well as it did.

Dr. Bradley thanked Mr. McCollum for filling in and for his hard work.

Ms. Stuecker stated that she was excited to be here. Ms. Stuecker stated that it is obvious that this is a group of people who care very much about the work that they do, and that she looked forward to being a part of that.

Ms. Stuecker reported that staff is working towards developing an annual report. Ms. Stuecker provided a brief overview of data related to the Board's licensees, registrants, and permit holders. Mr. McCollum provided an overview of the dental assistant classifications for those who were unfamiliar with those designations.

Ms. Stuecker also provided some data about the number of complaints received and reviewed by the Board.

Ms. Stuecker thanked Mr. McCollum for his help. Ms. Stuecker reported that the staff is a small group tasked with a lot of work. Board staff has worked to streamline and improve upon the work and keep things moving forward.

LEGAL REPORT

Ms. Scott stated that she had nothing to report.

ANESTHESIA CREDENTIALS COMMITTEE REPORT

Dr. Vargas reported that the Anesthesia Credentials Committee recently met to review applications and to discuss other committee-related matters. Dr. Vargas provided an overview of the committee's actions. The committee has asked for additional training information in response to two applications for moderate sedation permits; and denied a request for consideration of previously-completed DOCS training and sedation experience out of state.

CONTINUING EDUCATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT

- *Recommendations RE: Continuing Education Course Applications*
- *Recommendations RE: Continuing Education Sponsor Application(s)*

Ms. Elmitt reported that the Continuing Education Advisory Committee met recently. Ms. Elmitt provided an overview of the committee's recommendations.

- ❖ **MOVED** by ELMITT, **SECONDED** by KELLY, to **APPROVE** the committee's recommendations as submitted. Motion **APPROVED** unanimously.
- *Other Committee Recommendations, If Any*

BUDGET REVIEW COMMITTEE REPORT

- *Review of Quarterly IDB Financial Report*

Dr. Fuller reported that the committee had not met recently; though they intend to meet soon to discuss related items.

ANNUAL FEE REPORT

Mr. McCollum reported that the Board typically completes its annual fee review each October. The Board did not complete this at the last meeting. Mr. McCollum asked Ms. Braness to provide an update. Ms. Braness reported that the Board was provided a copy of the updated budget. The revisions include more accurate information following the close of the previous fiscal year. Based on current projections, there is sufficient revenue through the end of the fiscal year.

- *Other Committee Recommendations, If Any*

There were no other recommendations from the committee.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

Dr. Bradley reported that the committee met earlier that morning. The items that were discussed and required action by the Board will be addressed later in the meeting.

LICENSURE/REGISTRATION COMMITTEE REPORT

- *Actions Taken by Committee on Applications*

Dr. McCullough provided an overview of the applications reviewed and actions taken by the committee since the last quarterly Board meeting. A list of actions taken by the committee was included in the Board members' folders.

- ❖ MOVED by MCCULLOUGH, SECONDED by VARGAS, to APPROVE the list as submitted. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- *Pending Licensure/Registration Applications, If Any – Will be Discussed under Agenda Item VIII*
- *Other Committee Recommendations, If Any*

There were no other recommendations from the committee.

DENTAL HYGIENE COMMITTEE REPORT

- *Pending Dental Hygiene Applications, If Any – Will be Discussed Under Agenda Item VIII*
- *Report RE: Actions Taken at Dental Hygiene Committee Meeting*
- *Committee Recommendations, If Any*

Ms. Kelly reported the Dental Hygiene Committee met earlier that morning. The committee discussed the Iowa Dental Hygienists' Association's proposed language regarding expanded functions for dental hygienists. The committee directed staff to draft proposed amendments relating to expanded functions that will be brought back for discussion at the next meeting.

Ms. Kelly reported that the committee also discussed the Iowa Dental Association's petition for rulemaking to Iowa Administrative Code 650—Chapter 10. The committee voted to suggest denial of the petition for rulemaking

Ms. Kelly reported that the committee also discussed a request for rule waiver. The committee has recommended approval. This item will be addressed by the Board later in the meeting.

DENTAL ASSISTANT REGISTRATION COMMITTEE REPORT

- *Committee Update*
- *Committee Recommendations*
- *Dental Assistants and Public Health Supervision*

Dr. Bradley reported that the committee had not recently met.

Mr. McCollum stated that the committee was considering additional appointments to the committee. Ms. Braness reported that the Board had received a number of requests to serve on the committee; however, not all of the candidates submitted information about their background. That information will be forwarded to the committee for further consideration.

Ms. Kelly asked how large the committee would be. Ms. Braness believed that there were currently 5-6 members on the committee. It would depend upon the number of appointees. Ms. Kelly asked for clarification that there may be three dental assistants on the committee after the future appointments are made. Ms. Braness stated that this was correct assuming two (2) more dental assistants were appointed.

EXAMINATIONS REPORT

- *CRDTS – Dental Steering Committee Report*

Dr. Bradley reported that he served on the Steering Committee. Dr. Bradley stated that the committee reevaluates and validates the examination each year.

- *CRDTS – Dental Hygiene Examination Review Committee Report*

Ms. Kelly reported that the committee will meet in July 2015.

- *CRDTS – Dental Examinations Review Committee Report*

Dr. Vargas reported that she was unable to attend the recent meeting; however Dr. Vargas intends to attend the next meeting in April 2015.

QUARTERLY IPRC REPORT

Mr. Sedars provided an overview of the current IPRC data.

EDUCATIONAL STANDARDS FOR EXPANDED FUNCTIONS TRAINING TASK FORCE REPORT

Ms. Slach reported that the committee has not met recently. The committee is awaiting further direction from the Board.

V. ADMINISTRATIVE RULES/PETITION FOR RULE WAIVER

- *For Discussion – Proposed Amendments to Ch. 10, “General Requirements”*

Mr. McCollum reported that the Iowa Dental Hygienists' Association has proposed some draft language. Mr. McCollum stated that he will continue to work with the interested parties and present another draft at the next meetings of the Dental Hygiene Committee and the Board.

- *Draft for Discussion – Proposed Amendments to Ch. 20, “Dental Assistants”*

Mr. McCollum reported that this is a draft for discussion. This draft is not intended to serve as a Notice of Intended Action. At the last meeting, the Board indicated two priorities with the proposed changes to Iowa Administrative Code 650—Chapter 20: allow dental assistants to work under a level of public health supervision, and to make further changes to the expanded functions rules based on the recommendations of the original expanded functions task force.

Mr. McCollum recommended that the Board move forward on the proposed revisions to chapter 20. Mr. McCollum recommended that a revision also be made to Iowa Administrative Code 650—1.1 to ensure that the definitions are consistent throughout Iowa Administrative Code 650.

Mr. McCollum reported that some items were added to the proposed changes based on a number of questions and suggestions received over time. For example, one addition is to allow the use of curing lights under general supervision. Current rules allow intraoral suctioning under general supervision. The use of a curing light was added to clarify that this would be allowed also. These items were added for consideration in order to clarify the rules. Mr. McCollum stated that he was open to comments and further suggestions.

Mr. McCollum stated that another proposed addition to chapter 20 is for clarification with regards to general supervision. Mr. McCollum wanted to be clear that this would not be a change in regulation, but rather for clarification. The Board and the Attorney General's office have always interpreted the regulations to require a dental examination prior to a dental assistant providing services under general supervision. The rules allow dentists to delegate duties to dental assistants based on a diagnosis, which requires an examination by the dentist. This proposed change is intended only to provide further clarification. The language would match the language used for dental hygienists.

Provisions have also been added to allow dental assistants to work under public health supervision. The language is very similar to the language used for dental hygienists with a few exceptions as already noted.

Mr. McCollum reported that if the Board were to agree on these changes, the Board would consider a Notice of Intended Action at its next meeting.

Ms. Kelly asked that the Board review each section of the proposed revisions individually.

Ms. Kelly stated that she was pleased to see the clarification with respect to the definition of general supervision. Ms. Kelly believed that this will eliminate some confusion and misinterpretation.

Ms. Kelly asked if further clarification might be needed in regards to retraction as it relates to the proposed public health supervision rules. Ms. Kelly wondered if further clarification may be recommended. Dr. Fuller did not believe it needed to be included since these were general duties and could be completed under general supervision. Dr. Fuller recommended adding the use of an intraoral camera. Ms. Kelly agreed since dental radiography is currently allowed under general supervision.

Mr. McCollum stated that the Board has attempted to stay away from lists; however, in this case it may be appropriate. Mr. McCollum indicated that the use of intraoral camera could be added. The other Board members agreed. Ms. Elmitt agreed that the intraoral cameras should be included since this is different from dental radiography but increasingly necessary.

Mr. McCollum clarified that these are procedures that could be completed when the dentist is not in the office.

Ms. Kelly stated that she did not have any comments about the public health supervision language.

Ms. Kelly addressed the proposed changes to expanded functions. Ms. Kelly inquired about the training. The language makes reference to allowing training, “which may include on-the-job-training offered by a dentist licensed in Iowa.” The committee recommended training be completed through an ADA-accredited program for level 2 expanded functions. Ms. Kelly asked how that language may affect the recommendation for level 2 training.

Mr. McCollum stated that in order to complete training in level 2, a dental assistant must complete training in all level 1 items as described by the proposed the rule, which was just cited. Ms. Elmitt asked if a dental assistant could train without going through a formal program. Mr. McCollum stated that level 1 items would not require formal training, though that would still be an option. Ms. Kelly stated that she did not see a reference to training requirements for level 2. Mr. McCollum stated that level 2 would have its own educational requirements, though those have not been fully defined. In order to train in level 2, they must be certified as a level 1 provider, and pass a Board-approved entrance examination with a score of 75%.

Ms. Kelly recommended adding specific language to require training through an ADA-accredited program. Mr. McCollum believed that the original task force proposed all training for level 2 duties be completed through the University of Iowa College of Dentistry. Ms. Kelly agreed; however, she did not see the language included. Dr. Bradley stated that this would be added.

Ms. Slach inquired about the proposal to require training in all level 1 expanded functions prior to training in level 2 expanded functions. Ms. Slach asked if the Board wanted to require this prior to allowing training in level 2. Dr. Jeneary and Ms. Elmitt stated that training in all level 1 duties should be required prior to training in level 2 duties. Mr. McCollum stated that this had been a clear preference by the Board at the last meeting to ensure a broader base of education. Dr. Fuller noted that it would make enforcement easier.

Mr. McCollum reported that item #5 of the level 2 expanded functions was changed to “tissue conditioning.” Mr. McCollum also left in the reference to “soft relines” where the denture is not relieved or modified.

Dr. Jeneary stated that he did not recall the discussion related to the placement and shaping of amalgam and composites for level 2 expanded functions. Ms. Kelly reported that this was a recommendation that came out of the first expanded functions committee. Mr. McCollum stated

that a vote has not yet been taken on these recommendations. Mr. McCollum stated that this was the time to discuss any concerns related to these proposals.

Dr. Jeneary stated that he felt very strongly that a dentist should perform those tasks and that dental school was the appropriate place to receive training.

Dr. Bradley asked the Board members to comment on this. Ms. Elmitt was on the task force when this was discussed at length. Since the procedure is reversible; Ms. Elmitt did not have a problem with this. Dr. McCullough stated that he was okay with this. Ms. Kelly stated that she had served on the task force also, and was okay with this since it would require the dentist to check the quality of the work provided. Ms. Slach stated that she was in favor of allowing this so long as it was performed under direct supervision, and left to the discretion of the dentist as to whether to allow this within their practice. Ms. Meier and Dr. Vargas agreed with the previous comments.

Ms. Kelly recommended that Iowa Administrative Code 650—20.5 be updated to include the use of an intraoral camera to be consistent. Mr. McCollum agreed that it would match the language used earlier in the draft.

Ms. Kelly commented on the portion of the draft, which addressed dental assistants working under public health supervision. Ms. Kelly recommended updating the language used in the proposed section Iowa Administrative Code 650—20.16(1), (2), and (3) to match the settings allowed for dental hygienists. Ms. Scott stated that they would need to look at that this further. The statutory authority uses specific language with regards to where a dental assistant can practice. The language in the draft of Iowa Administrative Code 650—Chapter 20 uses the language used in Iowa Code. Ms. Scott did not believe that the language is interchangeable. Ms. Scott stated that Board may need to define public health agencies. Mr. McCollum stated that was his intent with the draft, which was submitted. Board staff may need to look at this further in order to find the best way to be consistent, and remain in compliance with the statutory limitations.

Mr. McCollum stated that his intent was to get away from a list of physical locations. Rather, the language in the code may better address the issue of approved locations without being too specific. Ms. Scott asked Ms. Kelly what her concerns were about the proposed language. Ms. Kelly thought that the language might be a little too broad; however, she would want to review it further. Ms. Kelly believed that comments should be requested on this issue prior to finalizing the language.

Mr. McCollum stated that he would leave the language as currently drafted until comments are received, and would proceed accordingly. Ms. Kelly stated that the Board may want to look at how to address some programs that may be privately funded. Ms. Kelly gave the example of the Des Moines Health Center, which does not receive funds from local, state or federal governments. Mr. McCollum reminded the Board that they need to be careful not to exceed the statutory authority provided in Iowa Code in regards to this definition.

Mr. McCollum reported that a few of the proposed revisions differed from the requirements that dental hygienists working under public health supervision are subject to. There is a proposed requirement that dental assistants working in public health supervision provide a copy of the

agreement to the Board office. A copy of the agreement would also be submitted to the Iowa Department of Public Health. A copy of the annual report must also be provided to the dentist providing the supervision. This would allow the dentist to be better informed about the services provided.

Ms. Kelly stated that the Iowa Department of Public Health recommends that a copy of the annual report be provided to the supervising dentist. The updated forms ask if the dentist is provided a copy. Ms. Kelly asked representatives from the department to comment on this. It was indicated that the addition to the form was new enough that there was insufficient data available.

Ms. Braness asked to comment on the new forms. Ms. Braness reported that she had been made aware of the fact that some licensees were having difficulty downloading the public health supervision forms on the Board's website. Ms. Braness has been working to find a solution to this problem; however she has been unable to find a solution to date. Ms. Braness stated that she could email a copy to licensees if they were unable to access it through other means.

Ms. Kelly inquired about the report to Iowa Department of Public Health that dental assistants would be required to submit. Ms. Kelly asked if this would duplicate paperwork since the dental assistants would be working in conjunction with dental hygienists. Mr. McCollum stated that the connection is with the supervising dentist, not the dental hygienist. Mr. McCollum also noted that it was hard to know what future requirements would be. Therefore, Mr. McCollum felt that the requirement should remain in place. Ms. Slach and Mr. McCollum felt the proposed requirement was more flexible, taking into account any future changes.

- ❖ MOVED by KELLY, SECONDED by VARGAS, to DRAFT a Notice of Intended Action for Iowa Administrative Code 650—Chapter 20 with the language as discussed, and to DRAFT a Notice of Intended Action for Iowa Administrative Code 650—1.1 to mirror the language used in chapter 20. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- *Notice of Intended Action – Ch. 27”Standards of Practice and Principles of Professional Ethics”*

Mr. McCollum reported that the Board had a draft Notice of Intended Action for consideration. The proposed changes would require dentists to keep study models and casts for six (6) years after the date that the treatment is completed. Mr. McCollum incorporated the suggestion made by Dr. Cochrane at the last meeting to allow the dentist to turn those over to the patient. Mr. McCollum added a provision to require offices to hold the models for at least one year prior to releasing them to the patient in case something would happen.

Dr. Vargas questioned the timeline for pediatric patients based on the draft language. Mr. McCollum stated that the specific provision regarding study models is limited to 6 years from the completion of treatment. The language to which Dr. Vargas was referring is the general requirement for record keeping. The new addition would allow offices to dispose of study models and casts six (6) years after the date of treatment.

Ms. Kelly recommended updating the language regarding keeping study models for one year before turning over to patient. Ms. Kelly asked that the language be clarified. Mr. McCollum went over the language to clarify the intent of the language. The language would allow office to turn over study models and casts to patients one year after the completion of treatment, rather than having to keep them for the six (6) years as otherwise required by rule.

- ❖ MOVED by JENEARY, SECONDED by FULLER, to APPROVE/FILE the Notice of Intended Action. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

Mr. McCollum reported that a public hearing is scheduled for March 13, 2015 as noted in the draft submitted. This item will be revisited at the next Board meeting.

- *Update – Ch. 29, “Sedation and Nitrous Oxide Inhalation Analgesia”*
- *Update – Ch. 52, “Military Service and Veteran Reciprocity”*

Mr. McCollum reported that these rule changes have been adopted by the Board. The changes will go into effect February 11, 2015.

- *Petition for Rulemaking – Iowa Dental Association – IAC 650—10.5(1), “General Requirements”*

- ❖ MOVED by KELLY, SECONDED by SLACH, to DENY the petition for rulemaking.

Ms. Scott provided an overview of the petition for rulemaking and what the request included. The Iowa Dental Association filed the petition asking the Board to remove the language “local, state or federal public health programs” from Iowa Administrative Code 650—10.5(1).

Ms. Scott reported that numerous public comments were received. The public comments were made available for review. The Iowa Dental Association filed the petition in response to an interpretation made by the Board at the last meeting. The Board interpreted local, state or federal public health programs to include correctional facilities.

The Board must take action on the petition and a written response will be forwarded.

Ms. Scott stated the comments made in writing and during the Dental Hygiene Committee meeting earlier that morning raised concerns about WIC and other federal programs that would be impacted by the removal of this language.

Ms. Elmitt stated that it’s important to keep the public in mind, and the impact this may have. Ms. Elmitt state that she understood the intent behind the request; however, the effect of striking the language would be profound and she had concerns about that impact.

Mr. Bradley stated that if the petition were to be denied nothing would change with respect to the administration of the current public health supervision program.

Ms. Slach stated that there are concerns about having a specific list of approved locations since local, state, and federal programs may change. If they were not included in the specific list, new programs could be excluded.

- The vote was taken. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

Ms. Scott reported that she looked into this issue with the correctional facilities. Ms. Scott requested more information from the Department of Corrections. Ms. Scott made clear that the Board was within its authority to interpret the rule. In reviewing all of the information from the Department of Corrections and the initial request, Ms. Scott stated that the interpretation was not sufficiently helpful to the Department of Corrections to affect policy.

Ms. Scott stated that correctional facilities do not clearly fit into the current rules in the same way as the other approved programs. The prisoners have certain rights, which may need to be met. The intent of the initial request was to allow the dental hygienists to perform cleanings more frequently without requiring a current examination. Public health supervision may not be needed to address this concern.

Ms. Scott stated that this may be a good opportunity to look at this issue more closely and see if it fits in the model of the other programs. There are distinct differences with respect to correctional facilities. The Board may need to look at how to address the correctional facilities since they may not fit with the framework of the current rules. Ms. Scott recommended that the Board review this further.

Ms. Kelly reported that she and Mr. Sedars had been in contact with the dental hygienist, who submitted the original request, who may be a new employee within the correctional system. Ms. Kelly further reviewed the original request. Ms. Kelly stated that since an examination is required upon intake into the system and every two years thereafter, Ms. Kelly would see this as a general supervision issue.

Ms. Kelly asked to preface her motion by stating that the Board would still have the right to interpret the rule as needed. Ms. Kelly believed that this was still a public health issue.

- ❖ MOVED by KELLY, SECONDED by FULLER, to send a letter clarifying that public health supervision is not required for correctional facilities, and that these issues are addressed under general supervision. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

- *Rule Waiver Request – Jessie Martin – IAC 650—22.4(3), “Dental Assistant Radiography Qualification”*

Ms. Braness reported that Ms. Martin submitted a request for rule waiver of the requirement, which states that training in dental radiography must have been completed within the previous two (2) years. Ms. Martin is a graduate of an ADA-accredited dental assisting program; she graduated approximately five (5) years ago.

Ms. Martin was informed that she would be eligible for dental assistant trainee status. Trainee status would provide updated training so long as she completed six (6) months as a dental assistant trainee. By completing six (6) months as a dental assistant trainee, Ms. Martin would be eligible for a radiography qualification. Ms. Arjes reported that Ms. Martin also had the option to complete a formal course of study. The course fee is \$400.

Dr. Bradley stated that this is a question as to whether the cost of the formal course of study is a hardship. Ms. Braness reminded the Board members that dental assistant trainee status was also an option available to Ms. Martin. Dental assistant trainee status would allow her to begin working as a dental assistant right away.

Ms. Elmitt asked if Ms. Martin was eligible for dental assistant trainee status. Ms. Braness reported that Ms. Martin had not yet been issued a dental assistant registration. Ms. Arjes confirmed that Ms. Martin had applied for registration, though it had not yet been issued.

Ms. Arjes stated that the Board had previously approved two other similar rule waiver requests. Ms. Braness indicated that it was her understanding that the other waiver requests varied in that dental assistant registration had already been issued in those other cases, and they were, therefore, ineligible for dental assistant trainee status.

Dr. Vargas reported that she had a dental assistant trainee; and she did not believe that this posed a hardship. Ms. Kelly stated that the dentist would be required to be present in the room as services were provided. Ms. Braness reported that the personal supervision requirement varied depending upon the services being provided. In some instances, a dentist would be required to be present in the room; however, in other cases, another licensee or registrant could provide the personal supervision. Mr. McCollum stated that dentists needed to provide the personal supervision for all intraoral procedures, and that another licensee or registrant could provide supervision for extraoral services.

Ms. Slach asked if Ms. Martin could be informed of her options. Ms. Braness reported that Ms. Martin had been informed of her options; however a reminder of the options could be provided.

- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by MCCULLOUGH, to DENY the request. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- *Rule Waiver Request – Mackenzie Meyer, R.D.H. – IAC 650—11.7(1)b, “Licensure to Practice Dentistry and Dental Hygiene”*

Ms. Braness stated that as Ms. Kelly reported earlier in the meeting, the Dental Hygiene Committee recommended approval of the waiver request. Ms. Braness reported that Ms. Meyer graduated from dental hygiene school in May 2013. Ms. Meyer failed to complete her application for local anesthesia permit. Ms. Meyers’ current employer wishes for her to obtain a permit to administer local anesthesia. Iowa Administrative Code 650—Chapter 11 requires applicants for a local anesthesia permit to have completed training in local anesthesia within 12 months of the date of application, or to demonstrate use of local anesthesia in another state, which allows its use by

dental hygienists. Ms. Braness reported that the date of graduation is used as the end date of training for those who complete local anesthesia training while in dental hygiene school.

Ms. Braness stated that it is increasingly difficult for dental hygienists to find local anesthesia courses outside of dental hygiene school. In many cases, licensees have to go out of state to obtain training when they can find it. Ms. Meyer has been informed that she could complete new training; however, she is asking that a rule waiver be granted given the difficulty in locating a new course. Ms. Braness also reported that the rules regarding reinstatement allow a licensee to reinstate the local anesthesia permit without additional training if it is reinstated within two years of the date it lapsed. Ms. Meyers is within two (2) years of the end date of her initial training.

❖ MOVED by KELLY, SECONDED by SLACH, to APPROVE the rule waiver.

Dr. Fuller inquired as to why a separate permit is required, apart from the issue of revenue, if dental hygienists receive training in dental hygiene school. Ms. Braness stated that current rules require a permit to administer local anesthesia; however, the Board can discuss this in the future if they want to change the requirements to administer local anesthesia.

Ms. Slach stated that the reason permits were required initially was that not all licensees had completed training in local anesthesia. Dr. Fuller understood this to be the case; however, he did not understand why the requirement was still in place.

Ms. Kelly asked to Ms. Braness to add this to the Dental Hygiene Committee agenda for future discussion.

➤ The vote was taken. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

Ms. Braness reported that the Dental Hygiene Committee and the Board could review this further in the future. Board staff has discussed the concerns of the 12-month requirement for training, particularly in light of the difficulty locating courses. Ms. Slach stated that since local anesthesia requires direct supervision, there is less concern than if it were allowed under general supervision.

Mr. McCollum stated that one thing that the Board must consider is that fees must be set in accordance with expenditures. If the fee should be eliminated, it may need to be added elsewhere to compensate for the loss in revenue. The Board may revisit this issue at a future meeting.

▪ *Other Recommendations, If Any*

There were no other recommendations for discussion.

VI. LEGISLATIVE UPDATE

Mr. McCollum reported that the proposed legislation to make the executive director position an at-will position has been introduced as senate study bill 1016. On January 15, 2015, it was assigned to the Human Resources committee. Lobbyists have registered their support for the proposed legislation.

Ms. Stuecker is awaiting her lobbying status before going to the legislature to address this.

VII. OTHER BUSINESS

ANNUAL FEE REVIEW

Ms. Braness reported that this item was discussed earlier in the meeting.

EXAMINATION REQUEST

Ms. Braness reported that the Board received a letter from a dental student at the University of Minnesota College of Dentistry, which was signed by a number of other dental students. The letter asked that the Board to consider accepting Canada's licensure examination as an alternative to CRDTS. The letter stated that qualified dental professionals may be discouraged from becoming licensed in Iowa as a result of Iowa's acceptance of the CRDTS examination. The dental student expressed concerns about the administration of a clinical examination on live patients. The dental student believed that the training and experience received in dental school, in addition to alternative licensure examinations should be sufficient for licensure.

Ms. Kelly reported that Minnesota is the only state in the United States to accept the Canadian examination.

Ms. Kelly asked what the implications would be for someone coming from Minnesota who was getting licensed here. Ms. Kelly indicated that licensing requirements would need to be similar. Ms. Braness stated that it would depend upon the pathway on which someone applies for a license. The requirements for licensure by examination and credentials vary. Ms. Braness provided an overview of current licensing requirements.

The Board chose to take no action at this time.

CONTINUING EDUCATION TRACKING AND MANAGEMENT TOOL REQUEST

Mr. McCollum reported that this was forwarded to the Board members for their information. This letter is from a company that offers services, which would allow licensees to track continuing education online.

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF ORTHODONTICS LETTER

Mr. McCollum reported that this letter was forwarded to provide information about some online orthodontic services. There are now ways whereby members of the public can receive orthodontic treatment over the internet. The letter was intended to serve as a notice that this may affect Iowa.

Mr. McCollum stated there have not received any complaints on this type of service to date. If so, the Board would investigate as needed to determine that anyone involved in treatment is properly credentialed.

DENTAL WELLNESS PROGRAM

Ms. Stuecker reported that this item was added to the agenda based on concerns which Dr. Fuller expressed to her.

Dr. Fuller stated that he recently saw a patient on the Dental Wellness Program, who two years prior, had been healthy. The patient's x-rays suggested problems that needed immediate treatment. However, because the patient was on the Dental Wellness Program, Dr. Fuller was unable to treat the full scope of her problem because she had not yet earned full benefits. Dr. Fuller is concerned that the Dental Wellness Program asks dentists to be unethical and commit malpractice since licensees cannot easily treat patients like this except on an emergency basis.

Dr. Fuller reported that after initially seeing a patient, the dentist must write up a treatment plan and submit it for approval. Enhanced benefits are earned only six (6) months after core benefits are administered. The dentist cannot perform restorative care before this six (6) month period. Ms. Slach indicated that work can be performed on an emergency basis if it effects at least 50% of the tooth. Dr. Fuller stated that the treatment must still be approved. Ms. Kelly stated that services can be provided if there is pain, and the claim can be submitted. Dr. Fuller asked Ms. Kelly if she has gone through the approval process.

Ms. Slach stated that some changes have been made in the area of periodontics. Ms. Slach indicated that they have been open to their requests. Ms. Slach stated that with scaling and root planing, changes have been made to the process. Initially, they could only provide prophylaxis and debridement if you couldn't do probing. Now, if there is a medical reason, or with sufficient information, they can provide scaling and root planing on level 1; they do not have to wait the six (6) months for level 2. Dr. Bradley asked if they had to submit for preapproval. Ms. Slach stated that you can provide the services so long as the reasons for treatment are fully documented in the patient record.

Ms. Kelly asked if you have to submit for approval for any dental plan. Ms. Kelly believed that there are provisions, which allow licensees to provide services. Dr. Fuller stated that licensees can get them out of pain, but may not be able to do much else without approval.

Ms. Kelly stated that Delta Dental has a lot of information on their website. Dr. Fuller indicated that there are a lot of requirements in place. Ms. Slach agreed with Dr. Fuller. Ms. Slach also noted that the reimbursement rates are low.

Dr. Fuller stated that Delta Dental is administering the program in conjunction with the Iowa Medicaid Enterprise. Dr. Fuller reported that no complaints with the Dental Wellness Program have been received by the Board; however, as with Title XIX patients, complaints may begin to be received. Dr. Fuller stated that licensees can provide the services; however, it may be a liability risk to them.

Ms. Slach recommended communicating with Delta Dental. The issues may be addressed if additional complaints are received. Dr. Fuller stated that the problem is that Delta Dental is

administering the Iowa Medicaid Enterprise program, which is paid for by the federal government. Dr. Fuller believed that the state is trying to shift Title XIX patients into the Dental Wellness Program since the federal government will cover the costs for three (3) years.

Ms. Kelly asked if someone from Delta Dental could speak about this at the next meeting. Ms. Tangen, Delta Dental of Iowa, stated that they would be willing to discuss this program with the Board. Ms. Stuecker will be in touch with Ms. Tangen.

VIII. APPLICATIONS FOR LICENSURE/REGISTRATION & OTHER REQUESTS

RATIFICATION OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON APPLICATIONS SINCE LAST MEETING

Mr. Braness reported that the Board was provided an updated list of actions taken in response to applications for license, registration, qualification, and permit.

Ms. Braness stated that the report could be updated to reflect information, which the Board members may want to review. Ms. Braness stated that comments could be forwarded to herself or Mr. McCollum.

- ❖ MOVED by SLACH, SECONDED by MCCULLOUGH, to approve the list as submitted. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

PENDING LICENSURE/REGISTRATION APPLICATIONS

- *Christina Martinez, R.D.H.*

This application was discussed in closed session.

IX. 2nd OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Dr. Bradley allowed the opportunity for public comment.

Mr. Cope stated that he was encouraged by the discussion about dental assistants helping in public health supervision programs. There are concerns about current programs utilizing dental assistants and the legalities of that. Mr. Cope asked the Board to not take action in these cases until the new rules are in effect.

Dr. Cochrane is pleased to see advancement on the expanded functions issue. Dr. Cochrane stated that the original intent was to help dentists offer greater services to patients. By tying level 1 to level 2, there may be some restriction on practice in offices. Dr. Cochrane would like to see some other method of qualifying for training in the level 2 duties.

Mr. Carl reported that the retired volunteer license bill has been assigned to subcommittee. The first subcommittee meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, January 27, 2015, 8:00 a.m. at the capitol.

Mr. Carl also wanted to inform the Board that according to the Des Moines Register, Governor Branstad's administration is on track to move administrative processes currently provided by the Iowa Medicaid Enterprise to a managed care organization. Mr. Carl believed that there may be ramifications of that, particularly for programs like the Dental Wellness Program. If the administration moves forward as indicated, the Iowa Dental Association's leadership intends to ask the administration to have the dental benefits carved out, similar to the Dental Wellness Plan. The Iowa Dental Association would like those services delegated to organizations that are familiar with dental care in Iowa.

Mr. Carl thanked the Board, if he understood correctly, for abandoning the informal guidance as it related to correctional facilities. Mr. Carl asked for clarification about the Board's actions today. Ms. Scott stated that a letter would be sent to the Department of Corrections stating that they do not need public health supervision since it would fall under general supervision based on the requirements of an examination of prisoners without a certain timeframe. Additional follow up will be handled as necessary.

Dr. Thies addressed the expanded functions issues, and the issues of tissue conditioning and modification of dentures. Dr. Thies stated that dentures, when they are treated with conditioner, are modified. Dr. Thies recommended altering the language to remove the parenthetical from the proposed draft. Dr. Fuller stated that he did not believe that the intent is to have the dental assistant to modify the denture. Dr. Thies stated that this should be clarified, and that the Board should consider removing all language after "tissue conditioning" in the draft. Dr. Bradley stated that the Board would take this under advisement.

Dr. Thies believed that the recommendation to retain models for one year after treatment could be problematic since treatment could be episodic. Dr. Thies is concerned that the licensees may be required to keep models for the full 6 years. Dr. Thies recommended disposal after treatment is completed. Dr. Thies stated that storage for models is burdensome. Dr. Thies did not believe that peers keep models. Dr. Thies stated that he will submit formal comments during the public comment period.

Dr. Thies stated that, speaking on behalf of the Iowa Academy of General Dentistry, they are in support of requiring training in all level 1 duties prior to training in level 2. Dr. Thies stated that the Iowa Academy of General Dentistry felt strongly that the educational process should be similar for dental assistants and dental hygiene. The rules should require the same level of education and training.

Dr. Markham asked for clarification on level 2 and if training would be limited to the University of Iowa College of Dentistry. Dr. Bradley confirmed that this was correct. Dr. Markham asked if that is just for the state of Iowa or if it is a national designation. It was indicated that these proposals are limited to Iowa.

Ms. Slach reported that the Educational Standards for Expanded Functions Task Force has not yet recommended final training requirements. The task force will meet again to discuss this further. Ms. Braness reported that the intent was to complete the training in conjunction with the University of Iowa College of Dentistry and that some of the training may occur at the dental office. There

are still details that need to be worked out. Ms. Kelly agreed with this; and clarified that final competency testing would likely occur at the University of Iowa College of Dentistry.

Ms. Jane Slach stated that the idea would be to possibly expand the training to other schools in the future after the program is better established. Ms. Branness stated that some programs had indicated an inability to provide this training due to lack of equipment and other concerns. Dr. Markham asked if there is a potential time frame. Ms. Kelly stated that this has not yet been determined since the University of Iowa College of Dentistry was reluctant to develop curriculum without further direction from the Board. Ms. Slach believed that it may depend upon the interest and the ability of the college of dentistry to accommodate the participants. Dr. Markham thanked the Board for their work on the expanded functions issues.

Ms. McBurney commented on the expanded functions issues and agreed with Dr. Cochrane about the intent being a need to provide more services to the underserved. Ms. McBurney recommended adding language to this section to address underserved patients. Dr. Bradley stated that the Board would take that under consideration.

Dr. Bradley reiterated that no changes would be occurring within the public health programs based on the actions of the Board at this meeting.

- The Board took a brief recess at 11:47 a.m.
- The Board reconvened at 12:18 p.m.

X. CLOSED SESSION

- ❖ MOVED by JENEARY, SECONDED by FULLER, for the Board to go into closed session at 12:19 p.m. on Thursday, January 22, 2015, pursuant to Iowa Code Sections 21.5(1) (a), (d) and (f) to discuss and review applications, complaints and investigative reports which are required by state law to be kept confidential and to discuss whether to initiate disciplinary investigations or proceedings.

<u>Member</u>	<u>Bradley</u>	<u>Elmitt</u>	<u>Fuller</u>	<u>Jeneary</u>	<u>Kelly</u>	<u>McCullough</u>	<u>Meier</u>	<u>Slach</u>	<u>Vargas</u>
Aye	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Nay									
Absent									

Motion APPROVED by ROLL CALL.

- The Board went into closed session at 12:19 p.m.

XIV OPEN SESSION

- ❖ MOVED by JENEARY, SECONDED by FULLER, to return to open session. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

- The Board reconvened in open session at 3:40 p.m. on January 22, 2015.

ACTION ON CLOSED SESSION ITEMS

1. Closed Session Minutes

- ❖ MOVED by MEIER, SECONDED by VARGAS, to APPROVE the closed session minutes for the October 17, 2014 quarterly meeting. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by MEIER, SECONDED by VARGAS, to APPROVE the closed session minutes for the October 17, 2014 hearing for Cynthia D. Adams, Q.D.A. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by MEIER, SECONDED by VARGAS, to APPROVE the closed session minutes for the October 31, 2014 meeting. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by MEIER, SECONDED by VARGAS, to APPROVE the closed session minutes for the November 10, 2014 teleconference meeting. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

2. Disciplinary Orders

- ❖ MOVED by MEIER, SECONDED by VARGAS, to APPROVE the proposed Settlement Agreement and Final Order in the Matter of Paul R. Schultz, D.D.S., file numbers 10-087, 13-0017. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by MEIER, SECONDED by VARGAS, to APPROVE the proposed Settlement Agreement and Final Order in the Matter of Lance P. Forbes, D.D.S., file numbers 12-059, 12-091. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by MEIER, SECONDED by VARGAS, to APPROVE the proposed Combined Statement of Charges, Settlement Agreement and Final Order in the Matter of Brenda J. Clark, Q.D.A., file number 14-0097. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by MCCULLOUGH, SECONDED by FULLER, to APPROVE the proposed Combined Statement of Charges, Settlement Agreement and Final Order in the Matter of Craig D. Stater, D.D.S., file number 15-0001. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by MEIER, SECONDED by VARGAS, to DENY the Request for Reconsideration of Application to Modify Board Order in the Matter of Andre Q. Bell, D.D.S., file number 06-055. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

3. Final Action on Cases

- ❖ MOVED by MCCULLOUGH, SECONDED by FULLER, to CLOSE file number 13-066. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by MCCULLOUGH, SECONDED by FULLER, to CLOSE file number 14-0085. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

- ❖ MOVED by MCCULLOUGH, SECONDED by FULLER, to CLOSE file number 14-0120. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by MCCULLOUGH, SECONDED by FULLER, to KEEP OPEN file number 14-0141. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by MCCULLOUGH, SECONDED by FULLER, to CLOSE file number 14-0147. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by MCCULLOUGH, SECONDED by FULLER, to KEEP OPEN file number 15-0001. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to CLOSE file number 14-0132. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to CLOSE file number 14-0133. Motion APPROVED unanimously. Vargas recused.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to CLOSE file number 14-0134. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to CLOSE file number 14-0142. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to KEEP OPEN file number 14-0146. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to KEEP OPEN file number 14-0148. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to CLOSE file number 14-0150. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to KEEP OPEN file number 14-0151. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to CLOSE file number 14-0152. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to CLOSE file number 14-0153. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to CLOSE file number 14-0154. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to CLOSE file number 14-0156. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to CLOSE file number 14-0158. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to CLOSE file number 14-0159. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to CLOSE file number 14-0160. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to CLOSE file number 14-0161. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by MEIER, to CLOSE file number 14-0162. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to CLOSE file number 13-021. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by ELMITT, SECONDED by JENEARY, to KEEP OPEN file number 13-0087. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

4. *DH Committee Recommendations*

- ❖ MOVED by KELLY, SECONDED by SLACH, to APPROVE the issuance of a dental hygiene license to Christina M. Martinez, R.D.H. and close file number 15-0002. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

5. *Licensure/Registration Issues*

- ❖ MOVED by VARGAS, SECONDED by FULLER, to CLOSE file number 14-0174. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by VARGAS, SECONDED by FULLER, to APPROVE the remedial education for a dental student that failed CRDTS twice. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

6. *For Board Discussion*

- ❖ MOVED by JENEARY, SECONDED by ELMITT, to CLOSE item #1 under this heading on the closed session agenda. Motion APPROVED unanimously.
- ❖ MOVED by JENEARY, SECONDED by ELMITT, to CLOSE item #2 under this heading on the closed session agenda. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

- The Board went into closed executive session for a performance review at 3:47 p.m.

XVII. ADJOURN

The meeting was adjourned at 4:15 p.m. on January 22, 2015.

NEXT MEETING OF THE BOARD

The next meeting of the Board is scheduled for April 23-24, 2015, in Des Moines, Iowa.

These minutes are respectfully submitted by Christel Braness, Program Planner 2, Iowa Dental Board.