IOWA DENTAL BOARD
MINUTES
April 24-25, 2012
Conference Room
400 S.W. 8th St., Suite D
Des Moines, Iowa

Board Members	**April 24, 2012**	**April 25, 2012**
Gary D. Roth, D.D.S., Chairperson	Present	Present
Michael J. Rovner, D.D.S., Vice Chairperson	Present	Present
Marijo A. Beasler, R.D.H., Secretary	Present	Present
Steve P. Bradley, D.D.S.	Present	Absent
Lynn D. Curry, D.D.S.	Present	Present
Steven C. Fuller, D.D.S.	Present	Present
Mary C. Kelly, R.D.H.	Present	Present
Diane Meier, Public Member	Present	Present
Kimberlee Spillers, Public Member	Present	Present

Staff Members
Melanie Johnson, Christel Braness, Phil McCollum, Brian Sedars, Dee Ann Argo, Janet Arjes

Attorney General's Office
Sara Scott, Assistant Attorney General

Other Attendees
Carol Van Aernam, R.D.H., Iowa Dental Hygienists' Association
Sara Yezek, DMACC Dental Hygiene Student
Brandi Haynes, DMACC Dental Hygiene Student
Tracy Rodgers, R.D.H., Iowa Department of Public Health
Lori Pelke, Midwest Dental
Jeannene Veenstra, R.D.A., Iowa Dental Assistant Association
Stephen Thies, D.D.S., Iowa Academy of General Dentistry
Larry Carl, Iowa Dental Association

CALL TO ORDER FOR APRIL 24, 2012

Chairman Roth called the open session meeting of the Iowa Dental Board to order at 10:09 a.m. on Tuesday, April 24, 2012. A quorum was established with all members present.

Roll Call:

Member	Beasler	Bradley	Curry	Fuller	Meier	Kelly	Roth	Rovner	Spillers
Present	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Absent									

PUBLIC COMMENT

Chairman Roth allowed time for public comment.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- *January 27, 2012 Minutes - Expanded Functions Roundtable Discussion*

❖ MOVED by BRADLEY, SECONDED SPILLERS, to approve the minutes of the January 27, 2012 meeting. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

- *January 31 – February 1, 2012 Minutes*

❖ MOVED by BRADLEY, SECONDED CURRY, to approve the minutes of the January 31 – February 1, 2012 meeting. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

- *Disciplinary Hearing Minutes – Marc Hagen, D.D.S.*

❖ MOVED by BRADLEY, SECONDED BEASLER, to approve the minutes of the disciplinary hearing. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

- *March 2, 2012 Minutes*

❖ MOVED by BRADLEY, SECONDED SPILLERS to approve the minutes of the March 2, 2012 teleconference meeting. Motion carried.

REPORTS

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Ms. Johnson provided information in the Board folders regarding her report.

Ms. Johnson reported that Dr. Matthew McCullough, D.D.S., Ms. Nancy Slach, R.D.H. and Ms. Lori Elmitt are the new members appointed to serve on the Iowa Dental Board beginning May 1, 2012. Ms. Johnson indicated that a brief meeting may be scheduled in May 2012 to elect officers and appoint committee members.

Ms. Johnson reported that Mr. Sedars attended the Reid Training for interrogation and investigation. The Board is committed to providing training to staff to provide better service and protection to the public.

Ms. Johnson reported that Mr. McCollum spoke at all of the dental assistant, dental hygiene and dental education programs in Iowa. Mr. McCollum addressed the regulations related to the practice of dental assisting, dental hygiene and dentistry in Iowa and provided answers to any other questions the students had.

Ms. Johnson reported that SF401 did not make it out of the House subcommittee. SF401 proposed legislation, which would have made the illegal practice of dentistry a felony charge. Therefore, the legislation will go further this session. The illegal practice of dentistry remains a misdemeanor offense.

ANESTHESIA CREDENTIALS COMMITTEE REPORT

Dr. Roth reported that the committee recommended approval of a general anesthesia permit for Dr. Jeffrey O. Link following successful completion of all inspections and a peer evaluation.

- MOVED by BRADLEY, SECONDED CURRY, to approve the application for general anesthesia permit for Dr. Link as recommended. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

LEGAL REPORT

Ms. Scott reported that there is a petition for judicial review pending in the matter of Dr. Marc Hagen, D.D.S. Ms. Scott's office, in assistance with Board staff, is preparing the response.

CONTINUING EDUCATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Ms. Beasler reported that the Board was provided a list of courses, which were recommended for approval since the last meeting.

- MOVED by BRADLEY, SECONDED MEIER, to approve the continuing education courses as submitted. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

Dr. Roth reported that the Executive Committee met earlier in the morning. The discussion focused largely on budgetary issues. The committee recommended that staff continue to implement the budget as previously recommended.

Dr. Roth reported that the Board previously filed proposed rule amendments, including proposals to raise fees; however, the Governor's office did not allow the proposed rule amendments, relating to fees, to move forward. Dr. Roth reported that there is sufficient revenue for this fiscal year and going into next fiscal year. Ultimately, the issue relating to fees will need to be further

addressed in order to ensure sufficient services and protection to the public. Dr. Roth indicated that there could be problems in the future if this issue is not addressed.

LICENSURE/REGISTRATION COMMITTEE REPORT
Dr. Rovner indicated that there was no information to report.

DENTAL HYGIENE COMMITTEE REPORT
Ms. Beasler reported that the Dental Hygiene Committee met earlier in the morning. Ms. Beasler reported that there was a request by a foreign-trained dental technician to practice as a dental hygienist. The Dental Hygiene Committee recommended denying the request and that she be referred to an ADA-accredited dental hygiene program for training and education as required by Iowa Administrative Code 650—Chapter 11.

DENTAL ASSISTANT REGISTRATION COMMITTEE REPORT
Dr. Rovner indicated that there was discussion in the Executive Committee regarding some issues related to the regulations for dental assistant trainee status.

EXAMINATION REPORTS

CRDTS STEERING COMMITTEE
Dr. Roth reported that CRDTS' per diem payments have increased for its examiners.

Ms. Johnson reminded the Board members to inform Ms. Braness when travelling on behalf of the Board in order to obtain approval from the state for the travel out of state.

CRDTS DENTAL HYGIENE EXAMINATION REVIEW COMMITTEE
Ms. Beasler reported that the examining season has started.

CRDTS DENTAL EXAMINATION REVIEW COMMITTEE
Dr. Roth reported that Dr. P.T. Grimes represents the Board on this committee. The committee is continually improving the exam. Dr. Roth also reported that the handheld devices are working well for scoring.

IOWA PRACTITIONER REVIEW COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Sedars provided the Board with updated statistics for the IPRC. Mr. Sedars indicated that there are one self-report, one contract under review and two discharges.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES/ RULES WAIVERS

- *Update on Status of Proposed Amendments*

Dr. Roth reported that the Governor's office has released the non-fee-related rules with the addition of the rules, which address service charges incurred with the acceptance of credit cards for payment. Ms. Johnson clarified that the service charges relate to fees incurred by the Department of Administrative Services (DAS), e-payment system and the state sign-on system. The rules propose recouping those fees. The Governor's office denied the request to increase the fingerprint packet fee. Ms. Johnson provided the Board a new timeline for the updated filing. The public hearing could be scheduled in June 2012.

Dr. Roth inquired about the pro-rated renewal fees. Ms. Johnson reported that the Governor's office considers this to be a fee increase. Ms. Braness reported that Board staff is seeking a compromise to allow new graduates in a renewal year to pay the application fee and renewal fee at once, without charging a pro-rated renewal fee. This proposal would streamline the licensing and renewal process in renewal years. There was some discussion of the frustration with this latest rulemaking request. The Board hoped to streamline and simplify the licensing process, particularly for the new graduates; however, the delays have made this more difficult. Discussion focused on how to best assist the new graduates and streamline the process as much as possible given current regulations.

Ms. Braness indicated that she could ask the applicants from the University of Iowa College of Dentistry to submit a separate check to cover the renewal fee if the rules, combining the application and renewal process, become effective. If the rules go into effect, the fee could very easily be applied, if not, the check could be returned.

Ms. Johnson reported that the Board may schedule a teleconference meeting in May 2012 to address this issue. Ms. Scott recommended addressing this later since the item is not listed on the agenda for this meeting.

LEGISLATIVE UPDATE

LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVES FOR 2012 SESSION

Ms. Johnson reported that there was no further legislative update.

OTHER BUSINESS

ACUPUNCTURE AND PRACTICE OF DENTISTRY

Dr. Roth received a letter from Dr. Kristin Morris asking if acupuncture would be allowed within the practice of dentistry.

Ms. Spillers and Dr. Bradley think it should be allowed with sufficient training. In Ms. Morris' case, she has 300 hours of training in the use of acupuncture. Dr. Curry questioned what would be considered sufficient training.

Ms. Scott clarified that the Board of Medicine licenses acupuncturists; however, dentists are exempted from those administrative rules. Ms. Scott said that the Dental Board will need to determine if there is enough interest to address this item by rule.

Ms. Beasler asked Ms. Scott about the requirements for acupuncturists. Ms. Scott stated that every profession addresses these requirements a little differently. Ms. Scott stated again that the Board would need to determine the level of need and interest in this area by licensees.

Dr. Roth wondered to what extent acupuncture would be used to treat dental problems: would a dentist use acupuncture to treat problems not related to the practice of dentistry?

Dr. Curry would like the Board to take a little more time to address this.

Dr. Roth stated that if Ms. Morris is trained and treating dental-related issues, it should be allowed. Ms. Morris would need to be informed that her treatment would need to be limited to dentistry, or she could run the risk of practicing another profession without a license. Treating migraines would not be considered to be the practice of dentistry by this Board.

Ms. Scott stated that Dr. Morris could be referred to the definition of the practice of dentistry. Without rules, an expert would need to be located if a complaint were filed.

- MOVED by BRADLEY, SECONDED BEASLER, to inform Dr. Morris that she would be allowed to perform these services so long as she remains within the practice of dentistry as defined in Iowa Code Chapter 153. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

ADA REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR DEVELOPMENT OF A PORTFOLIO-STYLE EXAMINATION

Ms. Johnson reported that information related to this issue was distributed by email.

Dr. Roth reported that the ADA is looking into developing a portfolio-style examination, which would potentially replace current (regional) clinical licensure examinations. Several state dental boards have already responded to the ADA concerning this proposal. Most of the responses have indicated opposition to the ADA's attempt to develop a portfolio examination. Most state dental boards have stated that this is outside of the scope of authority of the ADA. Dr. Roth wants to know what the other Board members feel about this proposal.

The Central Regional Dental Testing Service, Inc. (CRDTS) also responded to the request. CRDTS pointed out that there are a number of disadvantages to this proposed format.

Dr. Roth read the response from the Oregon Board of Dentistry as an example of the type of responses submitted to the ADA regarding their request for proposal. The Oregon Board of Dentistry states that the ADA does not have the authority to develop and approve examinations of this nature; it is the authority of state dental boards to set licensing and examination requirements and to establish the protection of the public.

Dr. Roth reported that representatives of the University of Iowa College of Dentistry have recommended portfolio-based licensing. In the past, faculty members have also recommended that the Iowa Dental Board license University of Iowa College of Dentistry graduates without an examination requirement.

Ms. Meier asked for clarification about what a portfolio examination is. Dr. Roth stated that over the course of the senior year, the student documents treatment and a variety of dental work completed. The documentation is later reviewed to determine qualifications to practice. This format is much more subjective in the course of the review. It also becomes far more difficult to prove that the work was completed independently by the student in question. The question of bias may also come into play if the individual reviewing the work knows the student.

Dr. Roth indicated that a handful of states have approved this basis of licensure. Ms. Beasler confirmed that Minnesota has approved a portfolio-style examination. The disadvantage to the portfolio-based licensure is that practitioners are restricted to practicing in Minnesota. Dr. Roth reported that New York has a PG-1 licensing option. The PG-1 basis for application also presents restrictions since most states require the successful completion of a clinical examination in order to obtain a license to practice.

Ms. Beasler's primary concern is bias, which can come into play. Clinical examinations are scored anonymously. Ms. Beasler reiterated that the portfolio examination makes it much more difficult to verify who actually completed the work under review.

Dr. Curry indicated that there are always some students who don't do well in dental school. Students, who are dismissed, often file lawsuits. Dr. Curry fears that portfolio-style examinations, if rated poorly, would also result in lawsuits against the university or other organizations involved in the education and licensing of practitioners. Dr. Curry feels that an outside testing service does a better job of removing bias from the scoring process. CRDTS' system of testing makes the process anonymous, which removes bias from the scoring.

Dr. Bradley stated that he is indifferent to the proposal. Dr. Bradley would like the ADA to advocate for a national examination, which would be accepted by all states.

Dr. Roth feels that states are moving closer to having better options for licensing across the nation. Dr. Roth pointed out that Iowa recently changed the requirements for licensure by credentials, making more practitioners eligible.

Ms. Meier stated that as a public member, she has more confidence in a clinical-based examination.

Dr. Roth also read aloud the response from the Wyoming Board of Dental Examiners. The Wyoming Board voiced their agreement with the other responses, which indicate that it is up to the states to set requirements for licensure and examination. The Wyoming Board of Dental Examiners stated their support for independent third-party testing.

Dr. Roth would like the Board to go on the record as being opposed to the portfolio-style examination.

Dr. Roth gave Dr. David Davidson, with the Iowa Dental Association, an opportunity to give his opinions on this matter. Dr. Davidson reported that he is not aware of a single University of Iowa College of Dentistry graduate who could not pass a licensing examination. Dr. Davidson feels that the students at the University of Iowa College of Dentistry are very competent. Dr. Davidson wonders if Iowa could retain more practitioners if University of Iowa College of Dentistry graduates were allowed to use a portfolio-style examination in place of a clinical examination.

Dr. Roth stated that while University of Iowa College of Dentistry graduates do well, there are 3% of students, who will never pass an examination. Dr. Roth's concern is that a portfolio would continually be adjusted until it passes review. Dr. Roth also questions how many students would choose this alternative if it limited their licensing potentials to Iowa.

Ms. Veenstra expressed her concerns about the portfolio-style examination being a step backwards in terms of objectively measuring an applicant's skills.

Dr. Roth reminded the Board members that the Board licenses dental students and practitioners from all over the country, not just graduates from Iowa. Dr. Roth does not want to lower the standards of what is acceptable for the purposes of licensing competent individuals.

Dr. Bradley agreed that it should be up to the states to determine licensing requirements.

- MOVED by BEASLER, SECONDED MEIER, to write a letter objecting to the ADA's proposal of a portfolio-style examination due to board members' feeling that it is a states' authority to make those decisions. Motion APPROVED. (Dr. Curry initially abstained from voting due to his strong preference to make licensing open and easier for graduating students. Following further discussion, Dr. Curry changed his vote to "aye" in support of the motion. The vote was, ultimately, unanimous.)

Dr. Roth feels strongly that the Board should retain its authority and responsibilities to licensing and examining requirements. Ms. Johnson stated that she would try to have a draft prepared for review by the next day, April 25, 2012.

BUDGET DISCUSSION/FINANCIAL REPORT – 3/31/12

Ms. Johnson reported that staff put together a memo regarding the current financial concerns and updated financial status reports. Ms. Johnson asked if there were any questions regarding the matter.

Ms. Johnson reminded the Board that this agency is not appropriated by the state of Iowa. This fiscal year, the Board has been fortunate to take in more revenue than originally projected.

SNAP-SMILE – IMPRESSION/VENEER KITS

Dr. Roth provided a brief overview of the information provided to the Board about the use of these kits. Based on the information provided, it would be allowed under Iowa law, so long as the lab, or other non-licensee, does not assist in the service in any way. This would be similar to teeth-whitening treatments that can be purchased over the counter.

Dr. Rovner questioned if this is really similar to white strips given that this is a more involved procedure. Dr. Rovner feels that there should some sort of distinction between services, which one can reasonably do by himself or herself and services, which require a greater level of education and expertise to perform the service to an acceptable level of care.

Ms. Beasler wonders to what extent the Board can address this given that the company is located out of state. Mr. McCollum pointed out that the Board can only regulate *licensees*. Non-licensees can only be turned over to the county or district attorneys for possible criminal proceedings. Currently, the illegal practice of dentistry is only a misdemeanor offense.

Dr. Rovner asked that this information be forwarded to the Texas Dental Board for review.

Dr. Curry also feels like this appliance could delay dental treatment if bacteria were allowed to remain under the appliance. Many of these appliances are meant for short term use. Ultimately, the appliance could be worn down and used beyond its intended lifespan.

- ❖ MOVED by ROVNER, SECONDED BRADLEY, to refer this matter to the Texas Dental Board for review. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

Dr. Curry asked what would happen if a dentist were to provide these services and a complaint were filed. Mr. McCollum stated that the Board would have the purview to look into the matter involving licensees.

APPLICATIONS FOR LICENSURE/REGISTRATION & OTHER REQUESTS

RATIFICATION OF ACTIONS TAKEN BY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SINCE LAST MEETING ON APPLICATIONS

Ms. Johnson reported that the Board was provided a list of licenses, registrations, and permits issued since the last meeting.

- ❖ MOVED by SPILLERS, SECONDED CURRY, to ratify the actions taken on licenses, registrations and permits. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

  - *Paula Meyer – Application for Radiography Qualification*

Ms. Johnson reported that Ms. Meyer is an applicant for a qualification in dental radiography. The rules require training that either uses Board-approved curriculum or has received prior-approval from the Board. The Board needs to determine if this applicant has met the rules regarding training requirements as she was trained out of state.

Board staff verified that Ms. Meyer has met the examination requirement as stipulated in Iowa Administrative Code 650—Chapter 22. The question is whether Ms. Meyer has met the requirement for training in this area.

Ms. Veenstra, with the Iowa Dental Assistants Association, stated that passing the Dental Assisting National Board (DANB) examination in radiography suggests Ms. Meyer has demonstrated competency. Ms. Braness reiterated that Board staff is not necessarily questioning Ms. Meyer's competency to take radiographs; however, Iowa Administrative Code 650—Chapter 22 specifically mandates that applicants complete *Board-approved* training in the area of dental radiography. The Board needs to decide whether or not Ms. Meyer has complied with this rule.

- ❖ MOVED by KELLY, SECONDED BRADLEY, to approve Ms. Meyer's training in dental radiography upon receipt of written confirmation from Ms. Meyer's previous employer, who trained her in this area, stating that she has been trained in and demonstrated proficiency in

all competencies currently established by the Board curriculum in dental radiography. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

- *John Cheek, D.D.S. – Application for Licensure by Credentials*

Ms. Johnson and Ms. Braness provided an overview of the applicant's history given the prior prior orders issued by Ohio State Dental Board.

Ms. Scott clarified that a license could be denied for any reason, which would result in action in Iowa. Therefore, the Board has discretion in this matter.

The Board decided to table further discussion of this application to closed session given some of the information supplied with the application, which meets the criteria for confidentiality under Iowa law.

IDPH PRESENTATION – PUBLIC HEALTH SUPERVISION

Dr. Bob Russell provided an overview of the 2010 public health supervision data. Dr. Russell has become aware that some public health hygienists are providing services to direct care centers, which include day care centers. Dr. Russell contacted Ms. Johnson for clarification on public health supervision rules in Iowa Administrative Code 650—Chapter 10 as to whether public health supervision services were allowed in day care centers.

Dr. Russell said that the question is whether or not day care centers are allowed under the rule, in the same way pre-schools are allowed. Some state agencies consider day care centers to be schools/pre-schools. Dr. Russell is asking the Board to clarify whether direct care centers/day care center could be considered a school or pre-school for the purposes of public health supervision.

Ms. Beasler asked about private centers versus public centers. Ms. Kelly clarified that private centers often receive public funding.

Dr. Russell stated that the definition of "school" is unclear because the definition can vary depending upon which state agency has jurisdiction in a given area. Dr. Roth asked if the Iowa Department of Public Health has a recommendation. Dr. Russell stated that he would recommend that the Board define direct care centers as schools, or that language be proposed to clarify where services could be provided by a public health hygienist.

Ms. Scott does not see an easy way to include day care centers under the current wording in the rule. The question comes down to how the Board would interpret schools and head start programs.

Dr. Roth would like to know if the interested parties would be open to adding language to the rule, which would specifically include day care centers.

Mr. Carl, with the Iowa Dental Association, is reluctant to address this matter without a more specific proposal to review. Mr. Carl would like to see this matter addressed through the rulemaking process. Dr. Roth agreed that the rule should be clarified.

Ms. Beasler states that the rule impacts low-income, high need patient bases. Ms. Beasler asked if the change will sufficiently address the future needs of this patient base.

Dr. Russell stated that they are currently aware of public health hygienists serving these groups. The intent of the public health hygienists is to target young children who greatly need these services. Dr. Russell needs to know how to instruct the hygienists so as to comply with the administrative rules, which address this area of practice.

Ms. Kelly worked in some of these centers, providing fluoride varnishes. Dr. Russell clarified that in those cases, a dentist is, ultimately, responsible for those patients. Mr. McCollum provided some additional clarification between the general supervision rules and public health supervision rules.

Dr. Russell was attempting to provide better instruction to the public health hygienists so as to ensure compliance with the rules.

Ms. Scott asked for clarification about the intended age group for some of these services. Dr. Roth clarified that, particularly for really young patients around one year of age, it is critical to educate the parents as well.

Dr. Russell reminded the Board that preventative services reduce overall costs to the state and federal agencies, which provide funding for treatment and care.

Ms. Scott stated that preschool usually does not start until age three; whereas a day care center will likely have children under the age of three. Ms. Beasler expressed her preference that age not be a consideration in this matter. Again, education needs to be provided at an early age to the children *and* the parents.

Dr. Russell also pointed out that most patients are referred to dentists for further follow-up. This is just a "pipeline" for services and future treatment. Dr. Fuller asked where the patients follow-up. The Iowa Department of Public Health tries to follow up with these patients to ensure that a child has been entered into a "dental home". Ms. Beasler reported that some public health hygienists may be in contact with the Department of Human Services as necessary. Given all of the barriers that may exist to treatment and care, further restriction of services is detrimental.

Dr. Curry asked how these definitions could be addressed to catch the populations who truly need access to the care and services. Dr. Russell indicated that the payment source becomes important. Services are being billed and being reimbursed through Medicaid, or the services are being provided for free. This, generally, eliminates higher income groups from the equation.

Mr. Carl feels that the Iowa Dental Association leadership would appreciate further clarification on the matter, preferably in writing. Based on Mr. Carl's understanding of the rules, the dentist who agrees to the supervision is ultimately responsible. Mr. Carl would prefer that the Board be the agency that provides clarification on this matter.

Ms. Johnson asked if it would be acceptable for the Iowa Department of Public Health to take the current language in the rule and propose a change in language. Upon receipt, the discussion could be more focused and address the matter more specifically. Dr. Russell clarified that the Iowa Department of Public Health is not specifically requesting a certain outcome; however, they need clarification in order to provide better direction to the public health hygienists. The Iowa Department of Public Health can provide proposed language in preferred.

Mr. Carl wondered why the Iowa Department of Public Health is enforcing these agreements. Mr. Carl is concerned by the language regarding enforcement. Mr. Carl understands that the Iowa Department of Public Health oversees the agreements. Dr. Russell apologized for the use of terminology and stated that "advise" would be a better term.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Chairman Roth allowed time for public comment.

Mr. Carl wanted to remind the Board and the public of the IMOM project scheduled for October 2012 in Davenport, IA. They would appreciate any and all help regarding this project.

- The Board took a brief recess at 12:21 p.m.

- The Board reconvened at 1:19 p.m.

CLOSED SESSION

- MOVED by SPILLERS, SECONDED BRADLEY, to go into closed session pursuant to Iowa Code Section 21.5(1)(d) to discuss and review complaints and investigative reports which are required by state law to be kept confidential.

Member	Beasler	Bradley	Curry	Grimes	Meier	Parsons	Roth	Rovner	Spillers
Aye	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Nay									

Motion APPROVED by ROLL CALL

- The Board went into closed session at 1:20 p.m.

- MOVED by SPILLERS, SECONDED BEASLER for the Board to go into open session to approve the motions in open session. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

- The Board recessed at 4:15 p.m. on Tuesday, April 24, 2012.

- The Board went back into open session at 10:30 a.m. on Wednesday, April 25, 2012

OPEN SESSION

OPEN SESSION ACTION ON CLOSED SESSION AGENDA ITEMS

- *Catherine P. Reno, D.D.S. – (12-032)*

❖ MOVED by FULLER, SECONDED CURRY, to accept the proposed combined Statement of Charges, Settlement Agreement and Final Order concerning this matter. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

- *John Cheek, D.D.S. – Application for Licensure by Credentials*

❖ MOVED by FULLER, SECONDED SPILLERS, to approve licensure on the basis of credentials. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

DENTAL ASSISTANT TRAINEE STATUS

❖ MOVED by BEASLER, SECONDED KELLY, to direct staff to deny *all* requests for extension of dental assistant trainee status beyond the 12 months due to the provisions in Iowa Code Chapter 153. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

If dental assistant trainees are unable to complete all requirements of registration within the 12 months allowed by law, they will be required to submit a new application for trainee status, effectively starting over.

APPLICATIONS FOR DENTAL ASSISTANT REGISTRATION

- *Nicole McGhghy*
- *Ashley Backes*
- *Katherine Callan*

❖ MOVED by SPILLERS, SECONDED CURRY, to approve the applications for dental assistant registration for Ms. McGhghy, Ms. Backes, and Ms. Callan based on the advice legal counsel provided to the Board. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

ADA REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR DEVELOPMENT OF A PORTFOLIO-STYLE EXAMINATION

Dr. Roth read the draft of the letter responding to the ADA addressing the Board's concerns related to the request for proposal of a portfolio-style examination.

- MOVED by ROVNER, SECONDED FULLER, to approve the letter as drafted. Motion APPROVED unanimously.

NEXT MEETING OF THE BOARD

The next meeting of the Board is scheduled for July 12-13, 2012, in Des Moines, Iowa.

ADJOURNMENT

Chairman Roth adjourned the meeting at 10:45 a.m. on April 25, 2012.

Respectfully submitted,

Melanie Johnson

Melanie Johnson, J.D.
Executive Director

MJ/cb